arXiv:1509.04862v1 [math.CO] 16 Sep 2015

AN APPLICATION OF THE LOCAL $C(G,T)$ THEOREM TO A CONJECTURE OF WEISS

PABLO SPIGA

Abstract. Let Γ be a connected G-vertex-transitive graph, let v be a vertex of Γ and let $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ be the permutation group induced by the action of the vertex-stabiliser G_v on the neighbourhood $\Gamma(v)$. The graph Γ is said to be *G-locally primitive* if $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is primitive.

Richard Weiss [17] conjectured in 1978 that, there exists a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that, if Γ is a connected G-vertex-transitive locally primitive graph of valency d and v is a vertex of Γ with $|G_v|$ finite, then $|G_v| \leq f(d)$. As an application of the Local $C(G,T)$ Theorem [1], we prove this conjecture when $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ contains an abelian regular subgroup. In fact, we show that the point-wise stabiliser in G of a ball of Γ of radius 4 is the identity subgroup.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be an undirected graph and let G be a subgroup of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of Γ. For each vertex v of Γ, we let $\Gamma(v)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to v in Γ and $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ the permutation group induced on $\Gamma(v)$ by the vertex-stabiliser G_v of v. We let $G_v^{[1]}$ denote the subgroup of G_v fixing point-wise $\Gamma(v)$ and we let $G_{uv}^{[1]} = G_u^{[1]} \cap G_v^{[1]}$ denote the subgroup of the arc-stabiliser G_{uv} fixing point-wise $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma(v)$. Similarly, given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $G_v^{[r]}$ the point-wise stabiliser in G of the ball of Γ of radius r centred in v and $G_{uv}^{[r]} = G_u^{[r]} \cap G_v^{[r]}$.

The graph Γ is said to be *G-vertex-transitive* if G acts transitively on the vertices of Γ. We say that the G-vertex-transitive graph Γ is *locally primitive* if $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is primitive. In 1978 Richard Weiss [18] conjectured that for a finite connected G-vertex-transitive, locally primitive graph Γ and for a vertex v of Γ, the size of G_v is bounded above by some function depending only on the valency of Γ (see also the introduction in [21], where the hypothesis of Γ being finite is replaced by the much weaker hypothesis that the order of G_v is finite). The truth of the Weiss Conjecture is still open and only partial results are known: for example, the case of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ being 2-transitive has been settled affirmatively with a long series of papers [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20] by the work of Weiss and Trofimov.

A modern method for analysing a finite primitive permutation group is via the O'Nan-Scott theorem. In [4, Theorem] five types of primitive groups are defined (depending on the group- and action-structure of the socle): HA (*Affine*), AS (*Almost Simple*), SD (*Simple Diagonal*), PA (*Product Action*) and TW (*Twisted Wreath*), and it is shown that every primitive group belongs to one of these types.

The only primitive groups X where the socle $\mathrm{soc}(X)$ of X is a regular normal subgroup are of Affine type or of Twisted Wreath type. In the former case, $\mathrm{soc}(X)$

Keywords: arc-transitive graphs, primitive group, affine type, Weiss Conjecture.

is an elementary abelian p-group and, in the latter case, $\mathrm{soc}(X)$ is isomorphic to the direct product T^ℓ with $\ell \geq 2$ and with T a non-abelian simple group. One indication supporting the Weiss Conjecture is [10], where we have shown that if Γ is a connected G-vertex-transitive graph of valency d, $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a primitive group of TW type and (u,v) is an arc of Γ, then $G_{uv}^{[1]} = 1$. Another main indication supporting the Weiss Conjecture is in [18], where Weiss shows that, if Γ, d, u and v are as above and $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a primitive group of HA type, then either $G_{uv}^{[1]} = 1$ or the socle of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is an elementary abelian 2- or 3-group (more information on the structure of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ in the latter case is given in [18, Theorem (i)]).

In this paper, as an application of the Local $C(G,T)$ Theorem, we completely settle the case of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ containg an abelian regular subgroup, that is, of HA type.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a connected G-vertex-transitive graph and let (u,v) be an arc of Γ. Suppose that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a primitive group containg an abelian regular subgroup and let $|\Gamma(v)| = r^\ell$ for some prime r and positive integer ℓ. Then $G_{uv}^{[1]} = 1$ when $r \geq 5$, $G_{uv}^{[2]} = 1$ when $r = 3$ and $G_{uv}^{[3]} = 1$ when $r = 2$. In particular, $G_v^{[4]} = 1$.*

Other recent and significant indications towards a positive solution to the Weiss Conjecture are given in [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8].

Acknowledgements. I am in debt (and I will always be) to Bernd Stellmacher. In fact, it was Bernd that pointed out to me the relevance of the Local $C(G,T)$ Theorem for a proof of Theorem 1.1. Actually, the ideas and the proof of Theorem 1.1 are based on conversations and a manuscript of Bernd. I am also in debt to Luke Morgan for reading a preliminary version of this paper. To both Bernd and Luke, my sincere thanks.

2. Preliminaries

All graphs considered in this paper are simple (without multiple edges and without loops), undirected and connected. Given a subgroup G of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of Γ and a vertex v of Γ, we denote by G_v the stabiliser of v in G, that is, $G_v := \{g \in G \mid v^g = v\}$. Moreover, we let $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ be the permutation group induced by the action of G_v on the neighbourhood $\Gamma(v)$ of v.

In what follows we let Γ be a connected graph and G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ with $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ transitive for every vertex v of Γ. We start by recalling some basic facts.

Lemma 2.1. *The group G acts transitively on the edges of Γ.*

Proof. Given two vertices v, v' of Γ, denote by $d(v,v')$ the length of a shortest path from v to v'. Let $\{u,v\}$ and $\{w,t\}$ be two edges of Γ. We argue by induction on

$$d := \min\{d(u,w), d(u,t), d(v,w), d(v,t)\}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $d = d(u,w)$. Let $u = u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d = w$ be a path of length d in Γ from u to w. If $d = 0$, then $u = w$ and, as $G_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ is transitive, there exists $x \in G_u$ with $v^x = t$. Thus, $\{u,v\}^x = \{w,t\}$. Suppose that $d > 0$. Since $G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$ is transitive, there exists $x \in G_w$ with $u_{d-1}^x = t$ and hence $\{w, u_{d-1}\}^x = \{w,t\}$. As $d(u, u_{d-1}) = d-1$, by induction, there exists $g \in G$ with $\{u,v\}^g = \{w, u_{d-1}\}$ and hence $\{u,v\}^{gx} = \{w,t\}$. $\square$

Hauptlemma. *Let $\{u,v\}$ be an edge of Γ and let $N \leq G_u \cap G_v$ with*

$$(\mathbf{N}_{G_w}(N))^{\Gamma(w)} \quad \textit{transitive for each } w \in \{u,v\}.$$

Then $N = 1$.

Proof. Write $K := \mathbf{N}_G(N)$. By hypothesis, $K_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ and $K_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ are both transitive. Thus, from Lemma 2.1, K acts transitively on the edges of Γ. As $N \trianglelefteq K$ and N fixes the arc (u,v), we see that N fixes the end points of every edge of Γ. □

Hypothesis 2.2. In what follows we let Γ be a connected graph, G be a group of automorphisms of Γ and $\{u,v\}$ be an edge of Γ. We assume that

1. G is transitive on the vertices of Γ;
2. G_v is finite;
3. $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is primitive.

We let $G_v^{[1]}$ denote the subgroup of G_v fixing point-wise $\Gamma(v)$ and we let $G_{uv}^{[1]} = G_u^{[1]} \cap G_v^{[1]}$ denote the subgroup of the arc-stabiliser G_{uv} fixing point-wise $\Gamma(u) \cup \Gamma(v)$.

We now recall the Thompson-Wielandt Theorem with its formulation as in [16], see also [9]. (Here, $\mathbf{F}^*(X)$ denotes the generalised Fitting subgroup.)

Thompson-Wielandt theorem. *The group $G_{uv}^{[1]}$ is a p-group for some prime p. Moreover, either $G_{uv}^{[1]} = 1$ or $\mathbf{F}^*(G_v) = \mathbf{O}_p(G_v)$ and $\mathbf{F}^*(G_{uv}) = \mathbf{O}_p(G_{uv})$.*

Hypothesis 2.3. Together with Hypothesis 2.2 we also assume that $G_{uv}^{[1]} \neq 1$ and we let p be the prime number with $\mathbf{F}^*(G_v) = \mathbf{O}_p(G_v)$ and $\mathbf{F}^*(G_{uv}) = \mathbf{O}_p(G_{uv})$. Observe that $G_{uv}^{[1]}$ is a non-trivial p-group.

For simplicity, given a vertex w of Γ, we write

$$Q_w := \mathbf{O}_p(G_w^{[1]}), \quad L_w := \langle Q_w Q_t \mid t \in \Gamma(w) \rangle, \quad T := Q_u Q_v.$$

We immediately deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *We have $\mathbf{F}^*(G_v^{[1]}) = \mathbf{F}^*(G_v) = Q_v$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{O}_p(G_v) \nleq G_v^{[1]}$. Since $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is primitive, $(\mathbf{O}_p(G_v))^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a transitive p-group and hence $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a primitive group containing an abelian regular subgroup and $(\mathbf{O}_p(G_v))^{\Gamma(v)}$ is the socle of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$. Set $V := (\mathbf{O}_p(G_v))^{\Gamma(v)}$ and $H := (G_{uv})^{\Gamma(v)}$.

The elementary abelian p-group V is an irreducible H-module via the action of H on V by conjugation. If $\mathbf{O}_p(H) \neq 1$, then $\mathbf{C}_V(\mathbf{O}_p(H))$ is a non-trivial proper H-submodule of V, a contradiction. Thus $\mathbf{O}_p(H) = 1$. Hence $(\mathbf{O}_p(G_{uv}))^{\Gamma(v)} = 1$. Therefore $\mathbf{O}_p(G_{uv}) \leq G_v^{[1]}$ and $\mathbf{O}_p(G_{uv}) = \mathbf{O}_p(G_v^{[1]})$. This contradicts the Hauptlemma applied with $N := \mathbf{O}_p(G_v^{[1]})$. Therefore $\mathbf{O}_p(G_v) \leq G_v^{[1]}$ and hence $\mathbf{O}_p(G_v) = \mathbf{O}_p(G_v^{[1]}) = Q_v$.

The rest of the lemma follows from the Thompson-Wielandt Theorem. □

Lemma 2.5. *The permutation group $L_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is transitive and $[G_v^{[1]}, L_v] \leq Q_v$.*

Proof. As $L_v \trianglelefteq G_v$ and $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is primitive, we have that either $L_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is transitive or $L_v \leq G_v^{[1]}$. Suppose that $L_v \leq G_v^{[1]}$. Then $Q_u \leq G_v^{[1]}$. Thus $Q_u \trianglelefteq G_v^{[1]}$ and

$Q_u \leq \mathbf{O}_p(G_v^{[1]}) = Q_v$. Therefore $Q_u = Q_v$. Now the Hauptlemma applied with $N := Q_v$ gives $Q_v = 1$. From Lemma 2.4, we get $\mathbf{F}^*(G_v) = 1$, a contradiction.

As $Q_v \trianglelefteq G_v^{[1]}$, we have $[G_v^{[1]}, Q_v] \leq Q_v$. Now, let $w \in \Gamma(v)$. As $G_v^{[1]}$ normalises Q_w, we get $[G_v^{[1]}, Q_w] \leq G_v^{[1]} \cap Q_w \leq \mathbf{O}_p(G_v^{[1]}) = Q_v$. Now the second part of the lemma immediately follows from the definition of L_v. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let C be a non-identity characteristic subgroup of T. Then $\mathbf{N}_{G_w}(C) = G_{uv}$, for each $w \in \{u, v\}$.*

Proof. Let $w \in \{u, v\}$ and write $N := \mathbf{N}_G(C)$. As $C \trianglelefteq G_{uv}$, we have $G_{uv} \leq N_w$ and, by maximality, either $N_w = G_w$ or $N_w = G_{uv}$.

Assume that $N_w = G_w$. As G acts transitively on the arcs of Γ, there exists $t \in \mathbf{N}_G(G_{uv})$ with $(u, v)^t = (v, u)$. Hence t normalises $Q_uQ_v = T$ and thus also C. This gives $N_u = G_u$ and $N_v = G_v$ and the Hauptlemma yields $C = 1$. □

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that $T \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(L_v)$. Then there exist subnormal subgroups $E_1, \ldots, E_r$ of G_v such that for $E := \langle E_1, \ldots, E_r\rangle$ the following hold:*

- **(a):** *$E \leq L_v$ and G_v acts transitively on $\{E_1, \ldots, E_r\}$; in particular $E \trianglelefteq G_v$.*
- **(b):** *$[E_i, E_j] = 1$, for $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $i \neq j$.*
- **(c):** *$G_v = EG_{uv}$.*
- **(d):** *$\mathbf{O}_p(E_i) = [\mathbf{O}_p(E_i), E_i] = [Q_v, E_i]$ and $E_i = \mathbf{O}^p(E_i)$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$.*
- **(e):** *$[E_i, \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(T))] \neq 1$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$.*
- **(f):** *For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, one of the following holds:*
  - **(i):** *$E_i/\mathbf{O}_p(E_i) \cong (\mathrm{SL}_2(q))'$ with $q = p^n$ for some $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i) = \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{O}_p(E_i)))$ and $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i)/\mathbf{Z}(E_i)$ is a natural $(\mathrm{SL}_2(q))'$-module for $E_i/\mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$,*
  - **(ii):** *$p = 3$, $E_i/\mathbf{O}_3(E_i) \cong (\mathrm{SL}_2(q))'$ with $q = 3^n$ for some $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{Z}(E_i) = \Phi(\mathbf{O}_3(E_i)) = (\mathbf{O}_3(E_i))'$, $|\mathbf{Z}(E_i)| = q$, and $\mathbf{O}_3(E_i)/\Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{O}_3(E_i)))$ and $\Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{O}_3(E_i)))/\mathbf{Z}(E_i)$ are both natural $(\mathrm{SL}_2(q))'$-modules for $E_i/\mathbf{O}_3(E_i)$,*
  - **(iii):** *$p = 2$, $E_i/\mathbf{O}_2(E_i) \cong \mathrm{Alt}(2^n + 1)$ for some $n \geq 2$, $\mathbf{O}_2(E_i) = \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{O}_2(E_i)))$, and $\mathbf{O}_2(E_i)/\mathbf{Z}(E_i)$ is a natural $\mathrm{Alt}(2^n + 1)$-module for $E_i/\mathbf{O}_2(E_i)$.*

Proof. Recall that, according to [1, Definition 1.2], a finite group X is said to be of characteristic p if $\mathbf{C}_X(\mathbf{O}_p(X)) \leq \mathbf{O}_p(X)$. By Lemma 2.4, we have $Q_v = \mathbf{F}^*(G_v) = \mathbf{F}^*(G_v^{[1]})$ and, in particular, $Q_v = \mathbf{O}_p(L_v) = \mathbf{F}^*(L_v)$ and L_v is of characteristic p. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{N}_{L_v}(C) \mid C \neq 1,\, C \text{ characteristic in } T\rangle = G_{uv} \cap L_v < L_v$$

because $L_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is transitive by Lemma 2.5. Now, the group L_v satisfies the hypothesis of [1, Corollary 1.6] and thus the proof follows immediately from the Local $C(G, T)$ Theorem.

Parts (b) and (c) follow from [1, Theorem 1.5 (b) and (c)] and the fact that G_{uv} contains the subgroup $C(L_v, T)$ defined in [1].

From [1, Theorem 1.5 (a)], we have $\{E_1, \ldots, E_r\}^{G_v} = \{E_1, \ldots, E_r\}$ and hence $E \trianglelefteq G_v$. Using Part **(c)** choose $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $E_i \not\leq G_{uv}$ and set $X = \langle E_i^g \mid g \in G_v\rangle$. Observe that $X \trianglelefteq G_v$ and hence the primitivity of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ yields $G_v = XG_{uv}$. In particular, replacing the family $\{E_1, \ldots, E_r\}$ and the group E by the family $\{E_i^g \mid g \in G_v\}$ and the group X, we may assume that also Part **(a)** holds.

Part (e) and the equalities $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i) = [\mathbf{O}_p(E_i), E_i]$ and $E_i = \mathbf{O}^p(E_i)$ in Part (d) follow from [1, Definition 1.4 (i)]. Now, the equality $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i) = [Q_v, E_i]$ in Part (d) follows from $Q_v = \mathbf{O}_p(L_v)$ and $E_i = \mathbf{O}^p(E_i)$. Finally, Part (f) follows from [1, Definition 1.4]. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *If* $T \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(L_v)$, *then* $G_{uv}^{[2]} = 1$ *if* $p \neq 3$ *and* $G_{uv}^{[3]} = 1$ *if* $p = 3$.

Proof. Assume the notation in Lemma 2.7. Since $G_{uv}^{[1]}$ is a p-group, we see that $G_v^{[2]}$ is a p-group, and hence $G_v^{[2]} \leq Q_v$. If $G_{uv}^{[2]} = 1$, then the lemma follows immediately and hence we assume that $G_{uv}^{[2]} \neq 1$.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, we set

$$V_i := \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{O}_p(E_i))).$$

For each vertex w of Γ, we set

$$Z_w := \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(Q_w)).$$

Now we subdivide the proof into six claims from which the proof will immediately follow.

Claim 2.9. $Z_u \leq Q_v$.

Suppose that $Z_u \nleq Q_v$. Observe that $G_v^{[2]} = G_v^{[2]} \cap Q_v \leq G_u^{[1]} \cap Q_v \leq \mathbf{O}_p(G_u^{[1]}) = Q_u$. Hence Z_u centralises $G_v^{[2]}$. Thus also $H_v := \langle Z_u^x \mid x \in G_v \rangle$ centralises $G_v^{[2]}$. Observe that, as $Z_u \nleq Q_v$, the group H_v acts transitively on $\Gamma(v)$.

By arc-transitivity we have $Z_v \nleq Q_u$, and hence a symmetric argument gives that $H_u := \langle Z_v^x \mid x \in G_u \rangle$ centralises $G_u^{[2]}$ and acts transitively on $\Gamma(u)$.

We conclude that $G_{uv}^{[2]} = G_u^{[2]} \cap G_v^{[2]}$ is centralised by H_v and H_u. The Hauptlemma yields $G_{uv}^{[2]} = 1$, a contradiction. $\blacksquare$

Claim 2.10. $[V_i, E_i] \leq Z_v$ *for every* $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Observe that $[Z_v, E_i] \leq [Q_v, E_i] = \mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$ and hence Z_v and E_i normalise each other. Now $Z_v \cap E_i$ is an elementary abelian normal p-subgroup of E_i, whence $Z_v \cap E_i \leq \mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$. Since $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i) = [Q_v, E_i] \leq Q_v \cap E_i$ and Z_v is central in Q_v, we have $Z_v \cap E_i \leq \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{O}_p(E_i))) = V_i$. This shows

$$Z_v \cap E_i = Z_v \cap V_i.$$

Since $V_i/\mathbf{Z}(E_i)$ is a simple $(E_i/\mathbf{O}_p(E_i))$-module and $Z_v \cap V_i$ is E_i-invariant, we have either $Z_v \cap V_i \leq \mathbf{Z}(E_i)$ or $V_i = (Z_v \cap V_i)\mathbf{Z}(E_i)$. In the latter case, we have

$$[V_i, E_i] = [(Z_v \cap V_i)\mathbf{Z}(E_i), E_i] = [Z_v \cap V_i, E_i] \leq Z_v$$

and the claim follows. In the former case, since $\mathbf{O}^p(E_i) = E_i$, we see that

$$[Z_v, E_i] = [Z_v, E_i, E_i] \leq [Z_v \cap E_i, E_i] = [Z_v \cap V_i, E_i] \leq [\mathbf{Z}(E_i), E_i] = 1$$

and hence E_i centralises Z_v. From Lemma 2.4, we have $Q_v = \mathbf{F}^*(G_v)$ and hence $\mathbf{F}^*(L_v) = Q_v$ and $\mathbf{C}_{L_v}(Q_v) \leq Q_v$. As $Q_v \leq T$, we get $\mathbf{C}_{L_v}(T) \leq \mathbf{C}_{L_v}(Q_v) \leq Q_v$. From this it follows that $\Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(T)) \leq Z_v$, but this contradicts Lemma 2.7(e). $\blacksquare$

Claim 2.11. $[Z_u, E_i] \nleq V_i$ *for every* $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Suppose that $[Z_u, E_i] \leq V_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Let $U := \langle Z_u^x \mid x \in E_i\rangle$. Then U is normalised by E_i and is a subgroup of Q_v by Claim 2.9. We have

$$[U, E_i] = [\langle Z_u^x \mid x \in E_i\rangle, E_i] = \langle [Z_u, E_i]^x \mid x \in E_i\rangle \leq \langle V_i^x \mid x \in E_i\rangle = V_i.$$

As $E_i = \mathbf{O}^p(E_i)$, using Claim 2.10, we obtain

$$[U, E_i] = [U, E_i, E_i] \leq [V_i, E_i] \leq Z_v.$$

Thus $[Z_u, E_i] \leq [U, E_i] \leq Z_v$, which shows that E_i normalises Z_uZ_v. By Lemma 2.7 (a) and (c), Z_uZ_v is normalised by $\langle E_i, G_{uv}\rangle = G_v$. It follows that Z_uZ_v is normalised by $\langle G_v, G_{\{u,v\}}\rangle = G$, a contradiction. ■

Claim 2.12. *$p = 3$ and $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$ is non-abelian for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$.*

By Claim 2.9, we have $[Z_u, E_i] \leq [Q_v, E_i] \leq \mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$ and by Claim 2.11, we have $[Z_u, E_i] \nleq V_i$. Thus $V_i \neq \mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$ and hence only case (ii) of Lemma 2.7 (f) can hold. Thus $p = 3$ and $(\mathbf{O}_p(E_i))' \neq 1$. ■

Claim 2.13. *For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, there exists $g \in E_i$ with $Z_u^g \nleq Q_u$.*

Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Suppose that $Z_u^g \leq Q_u$ for every $g \in E_i$ and set $U := \langle Z_u^x \mid x \in E_i\rangle$.

Since Z_u centralises Q_u, we have $Z_u \leq \mathbf{Z}(U)$. Now U is E_i-invariant and moreover, since Z_u is contained also in Q_v by Claim 2.9, we get that U is contained in Q_v. Therefore $[U, E_i] \leq [Q_v, E_i] = \mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$ (where in the last equality we used Lemma 2.7 (d)).

Note that $[Z_u, E_i] \leq [\mathbf{Z}(U), E_i]$ and hence $[\mathbf{Z}(U), E_i] \nleq V_i$ by Claim 2.11. Since $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i)/V_i$ is a simple $(E_i/\mathbf{O}_p(E_i))$-module by Lemma 2.7 (f) (ii), we have $[\mathbf{Z}(U), E_i]V_i = \mathbf{O}_p(E_i)$. Now $V_i \leq \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{O}_p(E_i))$ and $[\mathbf{Z}(U), E_i] \leq \mathbf{Z}(U)$ since E_i normalises U. In particular, V_i and $[\mathbf{Z}(U), E_i]$ are both abelian and centralise each other. Thus $\mathbf{O}_p(E_i) = [\mathbf{Z}(U), E_i]V_i$ is abelian, a contradiction to Claim 2.12. ■

Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and let $g \in E_i$ with $Z_u^g \nleq Q_u$. Now set

$$w := u^g, \quad X := \langle Z_w^x \mid x \in G_u\rangle.$$

Claim 2.14. *X acts transitively on $\Gamma(u)$.*

Since $X \trianglelefteq G_u$, it suffices to show that $X \nleq G_u^{[1]}$. Observe that $Z_w \leq Q_v$ by Claim 2.9. If $X \leq G_u^{[1]}$, then $Z_w \leq G_u^{[1]} \cap Q_v \leq Q_u$, a contradiction to our choice of w. ■

Recall that $G_{vu}^{[1]}$ is a p-group and hence so is $G_{vw}^{[1]}$. Since $G_{vw}^{[1]}$ is normalised by $G_w^{[1]}$, we get $G_{vw}^{[1]} \leq \mathbf{O}_p\left(G_w^{[1]}\right) = Q_w$. As u and w are both neighbours of v, we have $G_u^{[3]} \leq G_{vw}^{[1]}$. Therefore $G_u^{[3]} \leq Q_w$ and hence Z_w centralises $G_u^{[3]}$. As $G_u^{[3]}$ is G_u-invariant, X centralises $G_u^{[3]}$ and hence also $G_u^{[3]} \cap G_v^{[3]} = G_{uv}^{[3]}$. The arc-transitivity and Claim 2.14 give $(\mathbf{N}_{G_t}(G_{uv}^{[3]}))^{\Gamma(t)}$ is transitive, for $t \in \{u, v\}$. The Hauptlemma gives $G_{uv}^{[3]} = 1$. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be a G-vertex-transitive graph and let v be a vertex of Γ. Suppose that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a primitive group containing an abelian regular subgroup and write $|\Gamma(v)| = r^\ell$ for some prime r and some $\ell \geq 1$.

Let u be a neighbour of v. If $G_{uv}^{[1]}=1$, then there is nothing to prove. Assume then that $G_{uv}^{[1]}\neq 1$. In particular, the hypotheses in Hypotheses 2.2 and 2.3 apply to G, Γ and $\{u,v\}$. Now, we adopt the terminology in Hypothesis 2.3.

Let N be a normal subgroup of G_v minimal (with respect to set inclusion) subject to $Q_v\leq N\leq L_v$ and $N\nleq G_v^{[1]}$. Observe that N is well-defined because $Q_v\leq L_v\trianglelefteq G_v$ and $L_v\nleq G_v^{[1]}$ by Lemma 2.5. As $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is primitive containing an abelian regular subgroup, $N^{\Gamma(v)}$ is the socle of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ and is the unique normal elementary abelian regular r-subgroup of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$.

Now, the definition of L_v and the transitivity of $N^{\Gamma(v)}$ gives $L_v=Q_v\langle Q_w\mid w\in\Gamma(v)\rangle=Q_v\langle Q_u^n\mid n\in N\rangle\leq Q_vQ_uN=TN\leq L_v$. Thus $L_v=NT$.

If $r=p$, then $L_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a p-group and the primitivity of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ gives $L_v=N$. Therefore $T\leq G_v^{[1]}$ and hence $Q_v=Q_u$. Now, the Hauptlemma yields $Q_v=Q_u=1$, a contradiction. Thus $r\neq p$.

Now, $N^{\Gamma(v)}\cong N/(N\cap G_v^{[1]})$ is an r-group. Moreover, by Lemma 2.5, we have $[N\cap G_v^{[1]},L_v]\leq Q_v$, that is, L_v centralises $(N\cap G_v^{[1]})/Q_v$. This shows that N/Q_v is nilpotent and hence the minimality of N gives that N/Q_v is an r-group. Therefore $T\in\mathrm{Syl}_p(L_v)$. Now, the hypothesis of Lemma 2.7 are satisfied. We adopt the notation in Lemma 2.7.

Since N and T are soluble, so is L_v. Therefore $(\mathrm{SL}_2(q))'$ can be a section of L_v only when $q\in\{2,3\}$. We deduce $(p,r)\in\{(2,3),(3,2)\}$. In particular, $r\leq 3$ and $p\leq 3$. Now the proof follows from Lemma 2.8. □

REFERENCES

[1] D. Bundy, N. Hebbinghaus, B. Stellmacher, The Local $C(G,T)$ Theorem, *J. Algebra* **300** (2006), 741–789.

[2] M. Giudici, L. Morgan, A class of semiprimitive groups are that graph-restricted, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46** (6), 1226–1236.

[3] M. Giudici, L. Morgan, On locally semiprimitive graphs and a theorem of Weiss, *J. Algebra* **427** (2015), 104–107.

[4] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, On the O'Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups, *J. Australian Math. Soc. (A)* **44** (1988), 389–396

[5] L. Morgan, P. Spiga, G. Verret, On the order of Borel subgroups of group amalgams and an application to locally-transitive graphs, *J. Algebra* **434** (2015), 138–152.

[6] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, On graph-restrictive permutation groups, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* **102** (2012), 820–831.

[7] C. E. Praeger, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Bounding the size of a vertex-stabiliser in a finite vertex-transitive graph, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* **102** (2012), 797–819.

[8] C. E. Praeger, L. Pyber, P. Spiga, E. Szabó, The Weiss conjecture for locally primitive graphs with automorphism groups admitting composition factors of bounded rank, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), 2307–2318.

[9] P. Spiga, Two local conditions on the vertex stabiliser of arc-transitive graphs and their effect on the Sylow subgroups, *J. Group Theory* **15** (2012), 23–35.

[10] P. Spiga, On G-locally primitive graphs of locally Twisted Wreath type and a conjecture of Weiss, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory A* **118** (2011), 2257–2260.

[11] V. I. Trofimov, Vertex stabilizers of graphs with projective suborbits. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **315** (1990), 544–546; English transl., *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **42** (1991), 825–828.

[12] V. I. Trofimov, Graphs with projective suborbits. Exceptional cases of characteristic 2. I, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **62** (1998), 159–222; English transl., *Izv. Math.* **62** (1998), 1221–1279.

[13] V. I. Trofimov, Graphs with projective suborbits. Exceptional cases of characteristic 2. II, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **64** (2000), 175–196; English transl., *Izv. Math.* **64** (2000), 173–192.

[14] V. I. Trofimov, Graphs with projective suborbits. Exceptional cases of characteristic 2. III, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **65** (2001), 151–190; English transl., *Izv. Math.* **65** (2001), 787–828.

[15] V. I. Trofimov, Graphs with projective suborbits. Exceptional cases of characteristic 2. IV, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **67** (2003), 193–222; English transl., *Izv. Math.* **67** (2003), 126–1294.

[16] J. Van Bon, Thompson-Wielandt-like theorems revisited, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **35** (2003), 30–36.

[17] R. Weiss, s-transitive graphs, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* **25** (1978), 827–847.

[18] R. Weiss, An application of p-factorization methods to symmetric graphs, *Math. Proc. Comb. Phil. Soc.* **85** (1979), 43–48.

[19] R. Weiss, Groups with a (B,N)-pair and locally transitive graphs, *Nagoya Math. J.* **74** (1979), 1–21.

[20] R. Weiss, Permutation groups with projective unitary subconstituents, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), 157–161.

[21] R. Weiss, Graphs which are locally Grassmann, *Math. Ann.* **297** (1993), 325–334.